Department Faculty Meeting

Friday, December 8, 2023, 3:30pm
Location: Denny 213, remote option on Zoom: https://washington.zoom.us/j/96190545399

## Agenda

## I. Call to Order

II. Vote: Approval of Minutes (November) (standing item; Handel) 3:30-3:35
III. Discussion and vote: Five-year hiring plan (Handel) 3:35-3:55

- Responses by programs to questions raised at last meeting and on the survey
- Appendix 1 (survey results; proposed motion)
IV. Announcements (standing item; Handel) 3:55-4:00
- January 20 EIJ workshop (Nishikawa); Faculty retirement; New administrative assistant
V. Updates (standing item; Handel) 4:00-4:10
- Search for assistant teaching professor of Hindi
- Asian Languages and Cultures graduate track admissions
- Anu Taranath workshops
- Merit review procedures
VI. Discussion and Vote: Department EJI (formerly DEI) statement (Sandjaja) 4:10-4:25
- Appendix 2
VII. Discussion: Faculty Meeting times (Handel) 4:25-4:35
- Regular meeting time was moved from Wednesdays 3:30 to Fridays 3:30 in Autumn 2010
- Moving meetings back to Wednesday afternoons was discussed and rejected on March 13, 2015
VIII. Discussion: Department guidelines for associate promotions 4:30-4:50
- Appendix 3a (to associate teaching professor) (Nishikawa)
- Appendix 3b (to associate professor) (Bhowmik)
IX. Discussion and Vote: Establishing ad-hoc committee on department by-laws (Handel) if time
- Appendix 4


## X. Adjournment

## Appendix 1: Proposals for 2024-2030 Hiring Plan (submission in December 2023)

## Proposed Motion

The Department faculty recommends that our annual five-year hiring plan prioritize the immediate hire of an assistant teaching professor of Vietnamese and an assistant professor of Telugu. Over the next five years we also seek to hire an assistant professor of Sinophone literature and an assistant professor of Southeast Asian literature and/or culture. With Khmer moving from the Jackson School into AL\&L, we affirm the need to eventually convert the current grant-funded lecturer position into an assistant teaching professor position in order to ensure continuity of instruction.

## Background Information

Department obligatory request
Assistant Professor of Telugu (to hold the endowed chair in Telugu Studies from Autumn 2025)

## Chinese Program request

Assistant Professor of Chinese specializing in Sinophone literature and culture
[Note that this position has been in our hiring plan every year since Autumn 2020.]

## Southeast Asian Program requests

Year 1 - Assistant Teaching Professor of Vietnamese ${ }^{1}$
Year 3 - Assistant Teaching Professor of Khmer ${ }^{2}$
Year 5 - Assistant Professor of Southeast Asian visual cultures, digital cultures, media studies, sound studies, religious studies, film or literature (focusing on Mainland Southeast encompassing the nations of Cambodia, Thailand, Laos, Burma and Vietnam)

## Survey Results

Responses: 17 (of 30 permanent faculty)

Vietnamese teaching professor: 17 yes
Khmer teaching professor: 13 yes, 1 no, 3 no opinion
SE Asian tenure-line professor: 13 yes, 2 no, 2 no opinion
Sinophone tenure-line professor: 11 yes, 4 no, 2 no opinion
Of the eight who responded Yes to both tenure-line positions:
Prioritize SE Asian: 1
Prioritize Sinophone: 4
No preference: 3
There were five comments:

- I wonder if the demand for Khmer can be satisfied with a part-time hire? Or if full-time, if 2nd and 3rd year can be combined into one course, taught for two instead of three quarters per year, and hire someone who also can teach a content course about SE Asia? I think it is important that our faculty teach a larger number of students per year. A Khmer-related content course in the area of the teaching professor's specialization also can serve as a bridge to the language program.
- As the SE Asian program has expressed an interest for the post to be hired in 5 years, I have prioritized the Sinophone post. However, it would be good if the Sinophone hire can teach some Southeast Asian content in the form of Chinese literature from Singapore and Malaysia so that the SE Asian program can expand its course offerings.
- At this point it is definitely premature to commit to a permanent position for Khmer as enrollments are not impressive. It seems that we are getting ahead of ourselves: a tenure track position should come first, then, when there is a faculty member who can supervise students with serious interest, and if more robust enrollment for the language class can be demonstrated, we can consider another teaching professor. We want to be careful not to spread ourselves too thin.
- 1. Vietnamese and Khmer classes have been running for years and have served the needs of both heritage and non-heritage students. Therefore, it is urgent to ensure staffing stability for both Vietnamese and Khmer language programs. 2. The Southeast Asian program has demonstrated promising prospects for development. To elevate the program and eventually offer a major, securing a second tenure-track position is crucial. This step will also facilitate stronger collaboration within the department and beyond.
- I think we need to see more evidence of student demand for SE Asian studies in order to make a compelling case to the College.


## Appendix 2: Department EJI Statement

## EJI Statement Draft Department of Asian Languages and Literature

The Department of Asian Languages and Literature's core academic mission, to deepen and spread understanding of the languages and cultures of Asia, is directly related to the goal of an expanded worldview that does not privilege any select group of individuals over others.
Such a commitment on its own, however, does not guarantee a commitment to Equity, Justice, and Inclusion (EJI). The history of our academic field is marked by problematic approaches to the study of Asia (see the critique of 'Orientalism''). Similarly, the local history of Seattle, which includes redlining the internment of Japanese Americans during World War II, and on-going hate crimes against Asians shows that much remains to be done. We believe that our department can and should play a role in this work.

The department's faculty, therefore, commits to the inherent value of Equity, Justice, and Inclusion as defined by the University of Washington's College of Arts and Sciences, and to the larger project implied by a commitment to EJI: to spread awareness of, and to work to overcome, the unjust structures in society that perpetuate privilege, exclude underrepresented communities, and reproduce discriminatory practices. You can also read more about the College's Vision and Action Plah for Equity, Justice, and Inclusion.

Concretely, our department is committed to:

1. Working to make students, staff, and faculty from all backgrounds feel welcome and supported in our department;
2. Increasing the diversity of our student body, faculty, and staff;
3. Expanding accessibility of our course offerings and course content (for example, through DOIT;
4. Circulating information about University EJI-related resources;
5. Increasing EJI-related content in our courses, lectures, workshops, and other scholarly and instructional activities.

In relation to item 5 above, the following departmental course offerings fulfill the university's diversity general education requirement (DIV):
(This is a placeholder for EJI-themed courses offered by faculty members)

## Appendix 3a: Draft Department Guidelines for Promotion to Associate Teaching Professor

## Guidelines and Expectations for Promotion Reviews for Teaching Professors: To Associate Teaching Professor

Drafted by an ad-hoc committee consisting of Itsuko Nishikawa, JungHee Kim, and Akiko Iwata.
The College of Arts and Sciences has general guidelines for promotion and tenure. The general guidelines for promotion found in Faculty Code sections $\underline{24-32}$ and $\underline{24-34 . B}$ are also relevant. The CAS guidelines that specifically address promotions from Assistant Teaching Professor to Associate Teaching Professor are found here. For the most part, the Department of Asian Languages and Literature's criteria for promotion are aligned with those given in the CAS' guidelines. The purposes of this departmental guidelines are to ensure that the process is more transparent, consistent, and fair to all faculty as well as to take our particularly diverse community into consideration.

## Process and Timeline

Promotion from Assistant to Associate Teaching Professor is non-mandatory. The department encourages faculty members to seek promotion as an important step in one's career development. Consideration for promotion may be requested by the individual interested in becoming a candidate for promotion as described in the Faculty Code or be initiated by the departmental faculty. The assistant teaching professor interested in becoming a candidate must consult with the department chair before proceeding. When it is initiated by the department faculty, one pathway is via annual conference with the chair, at which point the chair and faculty member can discuss progress toward promotion and the department's and College's expectations for promotion. The other pathway is via the annual merit review process, during which the faculty can identify candidates for promotion review and notify the chair.

Once candidacy is identified, the general timeline is as follows.

1. An assistant teaching professor declares their intention to be promoted by April 1 for review in the following academic year.
2. The candidate will write their self-assessment and assemble updated documents, including their CV, course evaluations, class materials, and other evidence of scholarship and teaching effectiveness, as specified by the Department and College.
3. The chair appoints the candidate's promotion committee from among qualified faculty within the department.
4. The committee will recommend to the chair names of possible external evaluators.
5. The assembled promotion materials are collected in May and provided to external evaluators by late May or early June.
6. In October the committee submits its report and the faculty meet to vote on the promotion case, following the procedures specified by the College and University.
7. The case is submitted to the College. The candidate is informed of the final result in Winter or Spring. If promotion is granted, it takes effect in September.

## Promotion Criteria

In order for an assistant teaching professor to be considered for promotion, they must provide evidence of extensive training, competence, and experience in their discipline [CAS guidelines]. The department adheres to the CAS guidelines and predicates promotion to associate teaching professor on accomplishments in three categories: (1) teaching, (2) service, and (3) scholarship.

## 1. Teaching

Teaching is viewed broadly. While the items on this list are not exhaustive, the candidate does not need to meet all criteria. The department recognizes considerable variability in opportunity and expectations across programs; thus variables, such as the size of the program, number of students that one teaches, and course contents, are taken into consideration.

- Evidence of teaching excellence in student evaluations
- Evidence of teaching excellence in peer teaching reports
- Curriculum Development
- New course development
- Innovative teaching
- Material development and implementation
- Course management
- TA training/mentoring/supervising
- Mentoring and supervising students, for example through Independent Study courses or Internships (such as ASIAN 491)
- Teaching awards
- Attendance at academic and/or professional conferences/workshops
- DEI implementation
- Suitable Accommodation of under-represented or minority students in classes
- Developing and teaching content related to DEI, including lesson plans
* Contribution to DEIA beyond teaching will also be valued.


## 2. Service

Service can be at the level of the program, department, College, University, profession, and/or community level. The manner of service varies and can range from committee service, to outreach activities to the community and the K-12 sector. Contributing to community diversity and primary and secondary education contributes to the diversity and education of the university, and this is also true vice versa. Below are examples of service activities.

- Organization/Co-organization of conferences/workshops
- Organization/Co-organization of cultural events/information session
- Administration (program, department)
- Committee membership or chairship (program, department)
- Community services and outreach activities
- Translation service for public organizations
- Creation and/or administration of placement and proficiency tests
- Creation and/or administration of cultural events
- K-12 related outreach activities and services
- Serving as Faculty Advisor to student associations

3. Scholarship

Research publications are not a requirement for promotion for teaching professors. Scholarship can take many forms, including but not limited to traditional research publication, as described in Faculty Code Sections 2432.A and 24-34.B.4. As the department places a high value on teaching, the expectation is that scholarship should reflect growth and innovation in the candidate's professional practice. The items below are examples of effective scholarship. It is not necessary for the candidate to meet all criteria.

- introduction of new knowledge or methods into course content
- creation or use of innovative pedagogical methods
- development of new courses, curricula, or course materials
- participation in professional conferences
- evidence of student performance
- receipt of grants or awards
- contributions to interdisciplinary teaching
- participation and leadership in professional associations
- significant outreach to professionals at other educational institutions.
- presentations at professional conferences
- publication of scholarly articles in professional journals
- reviews of articles under consideration by professional journals

Note that some of the items above may be considered to satisfy both service and scholarship requirements.

## Appendix 3b: Draft Department Guidelines for Promotion to Associate Professor

## Guidelines for Promotion to Associate Professor

## Drafted by an ad-hoc committee consisting of Davinder Bhowmik, Chris Hamm, and Ungsan Kim.

The following guidelines and expectations for tenure and promotion in the Department of Asian Languages and Literature at the University of Washington (UW) have been compiled here to help promote a clear process for career advancement for all faculty members. As the College of Arts \& Sciences' "Promotion Considerations" (https://admin.artsci.washington.edu/promotion-considerations) explains, "When promoting, we are making a decision that combines an assessment of the individual's records to date as well as a projection of a career into the future." To ensure success, transparency, and fairness, the standards of measurements should be clear to all parties involved in the process. Chapter 24 of the University of Washington Faculty Code contains universitywide guidelines for tenure and promotion
(https://www.washington.edu/admin/rules/policies/FCG/FCCH24.html).

At the start, we affirm the Department of Asian Languages and Literature's commitment to the work of fostering diversity and equity. In accordance with the 2012 and 2018 revisions to the Faculty Code (Section 24-32), we value "any contributions in scholarship and research, teaching, and service that address diversity and equal opportunity," and will include and consider them among "professional and scholarly qualifications" at all stages in the tenure and promotion process.

These guidelines are a living document. Approximately every five years, the Department of Asian Languages and Literature tenure-track faculty will review this document and either vote to affirm its content, or revise its content and vote on the revision. For any questions in the application of this document, please also refer to the College's "Promotion Considerations" and the University Faculty Code, mentioned above.

## A. For Promotion to Associate Professor with Tenure

Promotion to Associate Professor with Tenure is a university commitment to a lifetime career. It is predicated on three standard metrics used across the university: research, teaching, and service.

## 1) Research

We wish to underscore at the start two elements of current (2021) university promotion policy: work done prior to an appointment at UW does count as part of the candidate's portfolio - what matters is the candidate's cumulative record, regardless of whether that work was done at UW or elsewhere; and, once appointed, years at rank are immaterial to promotion provided that the candidate meets all stated criteria for successful advancement. That said, the Faculty Code (Section 24-41) stipulates that for assistant professors, their second three-year appointment "must include a tenure decision." This means that the sixth year of an assistant professor's appointment - excluding any excused pauses in their tenure clock - is a mandatory year for promotion consideration.

At a research university like UW, the most critical factor in awarding promotion and tenure is whether the candidate has amassed a substantial independent record of research. The Department of Asian Languages and Literature looks for evidence of a significant trajectory of scholarly productivity that contributes to the candidate's field—addressing new questions, debates, modes of analysis, theories, and/or methodologies. As the College's "Promotion Considerations" explains, "quality is more important than quantity, although there must be sufficient quantity to provide evidence of a significant level of scholarly productivity." The metrics used for faculty in the Department of Asian Languages and Literature are similar to those employed across the university. They should include, but are not limited to, a body of original research that has been published by high-quality presses and in peer-reviewed journals. The work should make new contributions to the candidate's field or fields. For humanists, an academic monograph is the centerpiece of research portfolios for assistant-toassociate promotions. A candidate's book should be published or nearly published by the time of promotion. A publisher's acceptance into production of a manuscript, revised in accordance with reports received by outside referees, offers evidence that a work is nearly published. The press should be a high-quality academic or trade publisher. A candidate working in a field or sub-field in which standards other than monograph publication prevail will be expected to provide convincing documentation of any alternate criteria for publication in their area of specialization.

The research portfolio for candidates usually also includes journal articles, edited volume chapters, and/or edited volumes. It also usually includes evidence of progress on a second monograph or new research project. Such evidence might include grant proposals and awards, archival or field research, presentations, articles, and book chapters. Public scholarship - whether in print, in person, online, or on screen - strengthens a candidate's file. These contributions can include forms such as artistic expression, documentary film, public performance, curatorial work, lab-based teamwork, collaborative editorial work, archival research, and platform development. In addition to traditional peer-reviewed print publishing outlets in academic presses and journals, scholarship may be produced in such fora as online journals, digital humanities projects, op-eds, policy reports, performances, exhibitions, consulting work, public lectures and presentations, curriculum transformation projects, field-defining statements, and advocacy, activist, and coalition work.

Taken together, the research portfolio should reflect the range and significance of the author's contributions to their academic fields and, if relevant, in realms beyond. Appraisals by external referees of publications in the scholarly and critical literature, and of works-in-progress, whenever possible, provide testimony as to importance and impact. Due consideration should be given to variations among fields and specialties, to new genres and areas of inquiry, and to the scholarly reputation of candidates.

The College encourages scholars to engage in collaborative work such as edited volumes or anthologies, multipartner grant projects, or creative projects. When including collaborations in promotion portfolios, it is essential to document the individual's singular contribution to the collective work with a clear assessment of the magnitude of involvement. Moreover, the College's "Promotion Considerations" stipulates that "a significant
portion of the overall research record should include articles and works to which the candidate has made the primary contributions." They also note "although many junior scholars continue to do some collaborative work with a former Ph.D. or postdoc advisor, it is important to establish a record of growing independence from former advisors."

## 2) Teaching

All candidates should have developed a strong and documented teaching portfolio with positive student and peer evaluations, comparable to their colleagues in the Department of Asian Languages and Literature and across the College of Arts \& Sciences. As effective teaching is essential to advancement, candidates should include in their promotion file a clear narrative about their teaching. The College's "Promotion Considerations" explains that candidates should have student evaluations of "a large percentage, if not all, of the courses taught at the UW" and the Faculty Code (Section 24-57A) stipulates that all faculty must have at least one course evaluated by students in any year in which they teach. The Faculty Code (Section 24-57A) requires that assistant professors should have a peer review of their teaching done each year. Mentoring, according to the College's "Promotion Considerations," is also vital to teaching: "a very important part of our teaching responsibilities takes place outside of any specific course. The advising of students, both undergraduate and graduate, is a significant contribution to the teaching mission of the University." In addition to evaluations, candidates are encouraged to include supporting materials such as syllabi, course materials, assignments, and/or statements about pedagogical innovations in the classroom.

While research and teaching are typically viewed as separate categories, if a candidate believes there is a compelling narrative around the relationship of these areas, such as the influence of the research on the teaching, then the candidate should make that case in their personal statement.

## 3) Service

Service takes many forms, but an investment in the department and broader university community should be clear by the time of tenure. These forms may range from committee service to public outreach and universitywide service. National and international service, within professional organizations or unions, or editorial service with presses or journals, is recognized as citizenship to the broader profession, though not required at this level of promotion. Public service such as involvement in community organizations or supporting government or nongovernmental organizations is also valued.

Whereas AL\&L faculty are often called upon to consult and assist with the institution-building work of diversifying knowledge, the professoriate, and the student body, we encourage evaluators to consider the full range of a candidate's service in and outside of the university, especially service work that can be taken for granted by the institution such as the labor of mentoring and supporting students.

## Appendix 4: Ad-hoc Committee on Department Bylaws

## References

- Bylaws are a set of rules adopted by an organization that govern its administrative structure and regulate its affairs. These rules operate within the framework of Faculty Code and University and College regulations.
- Current AL\&L policies (mistakenly called "bylaws"): https://asian.washington.edu/bylaws-and-departmentpolicies
- Sample bylaws at UW departments
- Slavic
- Mathematics
- Random sample bylaws at some other institutions of higher learning:
- Florida State University: contains a link to a Template for department bylaws
- Lovola University of Chicago Department of English
- Massachusetts Society of Professors


## Background

The Department has a set of policies that have been approved by the faculty over decades that govern some of our procedures. These policies are not comprehensive, are not well structured, and in some cases are out of date or inconsistent with our practice. Many aspects of our departmental organization and governance are not explicitly defined, which can lead to uncertainty about duties, responsibilities, and roles of individuals and committees.

## Justification

Department bylaws, approved by the faculty, would streamline our processes, eliminate sources of dispute among faculty, and ensure consistency regardless of who is serving in leadership roles in the department.

## Charge

The committee will first survey bylaws at other units, with particular attention to UW departments. They will create an outline of department bylaws, and contact individual faculty or committees for appropriate content to slot into different sections. They would bring questions or concerns to faculty on a rolling basis, through regular updates at faculty meetings. A draft should be completed by May if possible.

## Membership

The committee will have at least three members, all of whom have experience with department governance and department processes. Tenure-line and instructional-line faculty will be represented, and at least two department programs will be represented.

